

Unit 1, 53 Southgate Avenue Cannon Hill Brisbane, QLD 4170 Phone: +61 407 332 063 E-mail: info@ausecology.com Web: www.ausecology.com

ATLAS Stage 3 – Green Valley Pre-clearance Survey Report

Green Valley – WP17A

Prepared for: Senex Report issued: September 2024



This document has been prepared and is certified by: **AUSECOLOGY PTY LTD** ABN 15 155 304 751 PO Box 594, Morningside, QLD 4170 w www.ausecology.com e info@ausecology.com

Document status

Revision	Reason for issue	Author	Reviewed	Issued to	Date
Rev A	Draft report	N. Cockerill	L. Grigg	-	26/06/2024
Rev 0	Issued to client	N. Cockerill	L. Grigg	Senex	27/06/2024
Rev 1	Updated data	L. Grigg	R. Regeer	Senex	2/07/2024
Rev2	Updated to Green Valley	L. Grigg	R. Regeer	Senex	16/09/2024



Table of contents

Glossary of Terms					
1	Introduction				
	1.1	Project background	4 		
2	Meth	odology	5		
	2.1	Regional Ecosystem assessment and Threatened Ecological Communities	5		
	2.2	Targeted threatened flora surveys	5		
	2.3	Fauna habitat assessment	5		
	2.4	Threatened Fauna Surveys	6		
	2.5	Koala dispersal habitat	7		
3	Resul	ts	9		
	3.1	Regional Ecosystems and Threatened Ecological Communities	9		
	3.2	Targeted threatened flora surveys	9		
	3.3	Opportunistic fauna surveys and habitat assessment	9		
	3.4	Ground-truthed koala dispersal trees	10		
4	Discu	ssion	16		

Tables

Table 3-1 Dispersal habitat trees	10
-----------------------------------	----

Figures

Figure 2-1 Green Valley – Overview Map	8
Figure 3-1 Cleared grazing pasture areas	9
Figure 3-2 Dense patches of Vachellia farnesiana	9
Figure 3-3 Green Valley - Map 1	13
Figure 3-4 Green Valley - Map 2	14
Figure 3-5 Green Valley - Map 3	15
Figure 4-1 Adjacent vegetation avoided	16
Figure 4-2 Adjacent vegetation avoided	16
Figure 4-3 Adjacent vegetation avoided	16
Figure 4-4 Adjacent vegetation avoided	16
Figure 4-5 Adjacent vegetation avoided on creekline	17
Figure 4-6 Adjacent vegetation avoided	17



Glossary of Terms

Acronym	Description
АТР	Authority to Prospect
ECPPFD	Environmental Constraints Protocol for Planning and Field Development
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)
ha	Hectares
m	Metres
MNES	Matters of National Environmental Significance
MSES	Matter of State Environmental Significance
PL	Petroleum Lease
sp.	Species (singular)
spp.	Species (plural)
sqm	Square metres
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community



1 Introduction

The pre-clearance survey methodology applied within this package of works is deemed appropriate to confirm the on-the-ground biodiversity values present.

1.1 Project background

The Atlas Stage 3 Gas Project (EPBC Act referral 2022/09410) involves developing, operating, decommissioning and rehabilitating up to 151 coal seam gas wells; gas and water gathering systems for the producing wells; access tracks; brine and produced water storages; borrow pits; and ancillary supporting facilities on Authority to Prospect (ATP) 2059, Petroleum Lease (PL) 445, the northern portion of PL209 and parts of PL1037 in the central part of the Surat Basin, Queensland (Senex, 2024 This report is provided to ensure compliance with the following EPBC approval conditions:

4. In accordance with the Constraints Protocol, the approval holder must: b) adhere to the constraints mapping.

5. To ensure no functional change to Koala dispersal habitat, the approval holder must not remove more than a total of 4 ha of trees, measured in canopy cover within mapped Koala dispersal habitat.

PRE-CLEARANCE SURVEYS

6. Prior to commencing clearing, the approval holder must:

a) undertake at least one pre-clearance survey of the proposed area of clearing, and b) publish on the website the pre-clearance survey findings, including:

i) the location and extent of trees to be cleared, including maps, and ii) a discussion of how removal of trees will not change the ability of Koalas to disperse across the landscape.

This report is also provided to help ensure compliance with Conditions F1-12 of Environmental Authority (EA0001207) and Conditions F1-10 of Environmental Authority (P-EA-100112777).

This report provides the results of pre-clearance survey on wells and gathering Infrastructure (well pads, gas and water gathering lines and access tracks) (the footprint) and a 30 m buffer within the Green Valley: Lot 2 Plan SP184589.

1.2 Scope

Ausecology Pty Ltd (Ausecology) was engaged by Senex Energy Pty Ltd (Senex) to undertake pre-clearance ecological surveys as part of the approval conditions for the Atlas Stage 3 Gas Project and in accordance with the *Atlas Stage 3 Environmental Constraints Protocol for Planning and Field Development* (ECPPFD) document (Senex, 2024). The ECPPFD provides a framework for identifying, assessing and managing potential impacts to Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) and Matter of State Environmental Significance (MNES) associated with development of the Atlas Stage 3 Gas Project.

Data collected during the pre-clearance surveys will be used by Senex to ensure:

- infrastructure siting complies with relevant environmental approval conditions and does not exceed the maximum disturbance limits
- infrastructure siting adheres to the constraints mapping
- no functional change to Koala dispersal habitat, the approval holder must not remove more than a total of 4 ha of trees, measured in canopy cover within mapped Koala dispersal habitat.

Results from the pre-clearance survey findings (this report) will be published on the website, including:



- the location and extent of trees to be cleared, including maps, and
- a discussion of how removal of trees will not change the ability of Koalas to disperse across the landscape.

This survey also involved targeted threatened flora surveys, active threatened fauna surveys (where suitable habitat was identified) and fauna habitat identification (where encountered) as per the ECPPFD.

2 Methodology

Ausecology principal ecologist conducted the field surveys on foot between 19th-20th June and the 9th September 2024.

Areas surveyed and mapped in this report include WP17A - Green Valley as shown in Figure 2-1.

2.1 Regional Ecosystem assessment and Threatened Ecological Communities

2.1.1 Desktop assessments

Baseline assessments of the vegetation communities, including ground-truthed regional ecosystem (GTRE) mapping and threatened ecological community surveys were conducted to an acceptable level of detail and covered the relevant sections of the Field Development Area (ECPPFD). These assessments have been reviewed as part of the desktop assessment prior to the preclearance surveys. Given the level of detail in these reports, no further desktop analysis has been conducted.

2.1.2 Regional Ecosystem assessment

During preclearance surveys, quaternary site assessments to verify regional ecosystems were undertaken where necessary (i.e., where vegetation and ecological communities have been determined to vary from the mapped ground-truthed regional ecosystem at the time of the pre-clearance surveys). These assessments were conducted in accordance with the ECPPFD.

2.1.3 Threatened Ecological Communities

Where necessary Threatened Ecological Community (TEC) assessments were undertaken to confirm the presence and condition of TECs identified as known or potential in the footprint if these were determined to vary from the mapped TEC areas identified in the constraints mapping.

2.2 Targeted threatened flora surveys

These surveys were conducted by a suitably qualified person (SQP). Targeted flora surveys of all known, likely or potential threatened flora species were conducted within the footprint and 30 m buffer, where mapped constraint areas were present and/or suitable habitat was identified by the SQP, in accordance with the ECPPFD.

These surveys were conducted using the random meander method and if a species is encountered, a population survey would be undertaken to determine the extent and density of the population. Threatened flora species and the locations of all individuals were recorded and specimens collected of any unknown individual requiring confirmation by the Queensland Herbarium.

2.3 Fauna habitat assessment

Senex has committed to not clearing any areas confirmed as habitat for threatened species (ECPPFD), with the exception of Koala dispersal habitat and Echidna habitat. Fauna habitat baseline assessments have been conducted to an adequate level of detail to enable known, likely and potentially present species to be



identified and a comprehensive Project impact assessment and constraints mapping has been completed (ECPPFD).

The pre-clearance surveys will reassess the habitat present (as mapped in the constraints mapping (ECPPFD)) or otherwise identified by the SQP during the preclearance surveys) in order to refine mapped habitat areas and survey and record micro-habitat features and breeding sites in the mapped constraint habitat to facilitate avoidance and minimisation of impacts to potentially utilised micro-habitat features and breeding sites. Recorded micro-habitat features, where present included:

- Hollow-bearing trees;
- Dead standing trees;
- Hollow logs;
- Termite mounds;
- Woody debris;
- Surface rocks;
- Gilgais;
- Soil cracks / cracking clay;
- Rocky outcrops, crevices, overhangs and caves;
- Mistletoes;
- Nests;
- Animal burrows;
- Watercourses, wetlands and dams (including proximity); and
- Any other significant habitat features, or values present, such as dense leaf litter, heavily decorticating bark, dense grass/shrub shelter, seeding grass cover, fruiting plants, nectar and pollen producing plants and koala food trees.

2.4 Threatened Fauna Surveys

As areas confirmed as habitat for threatened species have been effectively avoided by the footprint (with the exception of Koala dispersal habitat and Echidna habitat) and therefore the area required to be surveyed is minimal.

Active fauna surveys of all known, likely or potential threatened fauna species are to be undertaken where suitable potential habitat is mapped or found to be present within the proposed disturbance footprint (refer to the constraints mapping and the habitat features listed in Appendix A of the ECPPFD). Active fauna searches as per Table 1, Appendix A of the ECPPFD include scanning trees, the ground and habitat features; overturning rocks, logs and other woody debris; searching under peeling bark; raking leaf litter and soil at the base of trees; and flushing birds from dense shrubs and groundcover.

Invasive active searches were not undertaken in the 30m buffer of the disturbance footprint within mapped constraint areas, given no impact is expected and active searches outside of the footprint would be detrimental to the fauna species habitat. Instead of invasive searches in the 30m buffer, surveys included incidental observations and scat and sign searches.



2.5 Koala dispersal habitat

An initial desktop survey was undertaken to analyse all previous ecology data collected in the field, analyse the ECPPFD and associated constraints mapping and to identify areas of mapped koala dispersal habitat that would require further ground verification.

Areas identified were highlighted on GIS mapping for further identification and field verification. Additional points were collected in the field where applicable. All tree ID numbers have been provided in individual maps of the area surveyed (Figures 3-1 to 3-3) and Table 3-1 identifying each species in the results.

Canopy cover was measured by walking the dripline of trees located in koala dispersal areas using a sub-10cm accuracy handheld Trimble GPS unit. Tree canopy diameter was also recorded using measuring tape for canopy cover verification. The diameter at breast height (DBH) of each of the abovementioned trees was measured and height data was collected. Where denser regrowth was present and canopy cover of individual trees could not be distinguished, the canopy cover of the clump of trees was walked at the outer dripline and average height assessed. Thorny tree species which are not able to be climbed by Koala (i.e. desert lime (*Citrus glauca*) were still recorded in the field but excluded from the final Koala tree canopy cover calculations.





3 Results

The Green Valley property has been historically cleared for cattle grazing and is dominated by cleared pasture lands of introduced pasture grasses, with scattered patches of poplar box (*Eucalyptus populnea*) woodland on alluvial plains (RE 11.3.2). Individual and scattered *Citrus glauca* and *Geijera parviflora* and patches of the introduced invasive plant *Vachellia farnesiana* were scattered across cleared pastures (Figure 3-1 and Figure 3-2).





Figure 3-1 Cleared grazing pasture areas

Figure 3-2 Dense patches of Vachellia farnesiana

3.1 Regional Ecosystems and Threatened Ecological Communities

Where mapped constraints areas occurred within the disturbance footprint or 30m buffer these were assessed in the field to ensure they aligned with the mapping. No mapped constraints in the survey area were found to require changes. The survey also confirmed that the proposed disturbance areas do not contain any listed TEC or any TEC within the 30m buffer area.

3.2 Targeted threatened flora surveys

No Belson's panic (*Homopholis belsonii*), red soil wooly winklewort (*Rutidosis lanata*) and winged nightshade (*Solanum stenopterum*) were identified within the areas mapped as constraints for these species.

3.3 Opportunistic fauna surveys and habitat assessment

Active searches were not required within the disturbance footprint as no searchable fauna habitat was present (Either mapped as constraints or identified during the survey). No habitat features other than the koala dispersal trees were recorded during the surveys.

No threatened fauna species were observed in suitable potential habitat within 30 m of a proposed disturbance footprint. One patch of brigalow is located within 30m of the disturbance footprint, however, impacts to the patch have been avoided. Invasive searches were not undertaken in this patch since none of the coarse woody debris, trees with loose bark or areas with leaf litter will be impacted by the disturbance footprint. If invasive active searches had been undertaken on habitat features in this patch, fauna would be disturbed, and some habitat may be unnecessarily destroyed.



3.4 Ground-truthed koala dispersal trees

Table 3-1 provides the results including canopy cover, height and DBH of the trees assessed in the field in koala dispersal areas. All trees were assessed and where determined they could be avoided (through underground boring or realignment) they have been marked up as "retain" and will be avoided during construction. Trees unable to be avoided have had their canopy cover assessed and calculated under disturbance limits. Mapping of each location (Desktop ID) are shown in Figure 3-3 to Figure 3-5.

Location (Desktop ID)	Area (sqm)	Species	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Action	Photo
LG14	5	Eucalyptus populnea	12	6	Retain	
LG15	8.6	Eucalyptus populnea	41	18	Retain	
DesktopID_01	28.3	Geijera parviflora	35	5	Retain	
DesktopID_02	44.7	Geijera parviflora	32	4	Retain	
DesktopID_03	38.8	Geijera parviflora	29	4.5	Retain	

Table 3-1 Dispersal habitat trees

Senex ATLAS Stage 3 – Green Valley Pre-clearance Survey Report September 2024



Location (Desktop ID)	Area (sqm)	Species	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Action	Photo
DesktopID_04	9	Geijera parviflora	32	4	Retain	
DesktopID_05	31.3	Geijera parviflora	33	5	Retain	
DesktopID_07	48	Eucalyptus populnea	26.5	13	Remove	
DesktopID_21	56.9	Grevillea striata	15	5	Retain	
DesktopID_22	10.5	Eucalyptus populnea	18	7	Retain	
DesktopID_23	28.4	Eucalyptus orgadophila	26	11	Retain	

Senex ATLAS Stage 3 – Green Valley Pre-clearance Survey Report September 2024



Location (Desktop ID)	Area (sqm)	Species	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Action	Photo	
DesktopID_25 a	24.5	Geijera parviflora	29	6	Retain		
DesktopID_25 b	11.5	Eucalyptus populnea	14	7	Retain		
Remove area:		Total (sqm) 48					
		Total (ha) 0.0048					
Retain		Total (sqm) 297.5					
		Total (ha) 0.02975					



FIGURE 3-1:

Desktop and Ground-Truthed Tree Dripline Extent Koala Dispersal Habitat Verification Green Valley - Map 1

Dripline Extent High Constraint Area Retain Green Valley Footprint No Go Area will be avoided by HDD





Area:28.3sqm Tree ID: DesktopID_01 Species: Geijera parviflora

> Area:44.7sqm Tree ID: DesktopID_02 Species: Geijera parviflora

Area:38.8sqm

Tree ID: DesktopID_03 Species: Geijera parviflora

Area:31.3sqm

Tree ID: DesktopID_05 Species: Geijera parviflora

Area:9sqm

Tree ID: DesktopID_04 Species: Geijera parviflora

68 78 3553.69



Proposed Gathering Lines Green Valley Footprint

FIGURE 3-2:

Desktop and Ground-Truthed Tree Dripline Extent Koala Dispersal Habitat Verification Green Valley - Map 2

Ground-Truthed Tree Centrepoint

Retain **Dripline Extent** Retain

Data Accuracy Notes: Ground-Truthed data was mapped using Fixed RTK GNSS Recievers with Icm accuracy



783653.69



Ausecology

FIGURE 3-3:

Desktop and Ground-Truthed Tree Dripline Extent Koala Dispersal Habitat Verification Green Valley - Map 3

Remove Green Valley Footprint Dripline Extent Remove High Constraint Area

No Go Area

Data Accuracy Notes: Ground-Truthed data was mapped using Fixed RTK GNSS Recievers with Icm accuracy



24/06/2024

05/07/2024

10



4 Discussion

The surveys on Green Valley found that the projects impacts to koala habitat or dispersal habitat would minimal with a total of 0.0048 ha to be potentially cleared. The areas surveyed identified no additional constraints in accordance with the Atlas Stage 3 ECPPFD document. Koala dispersal habitat was considered separately, and a total of 0.0048 ha was mapped as impacted. Importantly, in areas where there was potential removal of dispersal habitat trees, the footprint was first positioned to avoid trees (Table 3-1) through further avoidance measures. Where avoidance was not possible, the footprint has been positioned to avoid adjacent areas of vegetation and ensure other areas of dispersal habitat remain as shown in Figure 4-1 -Figure 4-6 and in the mapping provided in Figure 4-7. The removal of the 0.0048 ha is not likely to result in functional change to the dispersal habitat on the properties and the Koala will still be able to move through the landscape.

Threatened flora searches found no threatened species within or in proximity to the impact footprint. No threatened flora were encountered during the survey and no TECs are present within the disturbance footprint or within 30m of the disturbance footprint. No remnant or HVR regulated vegetation, potential threatened fauna habitat or environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs) are present within the disturbance footprint. It is recommended that a qualified fauna spotter catcher undertake a preclearance survey across the disturbance footprint to identify habitat features prior to clearing. The fauna spotter catcher should be present during clearing works to check habitat features and relocate fauna, and where possible habitat features in order to minimise impacts to fauna.



Figure 4-1 Adjacent vegetation avoided



Figure 4-3 Adjacent vegetation avoided



Figure 4-2 Adjacent vegetation avoided



Figure 4-4 Adjacent vegetation avoided

Senex ATLAS Stage 3 – Green Valley Pre-clearance Survey Report September 2024





Figure 4-5 Adjacent vegetation avoided on creekline



Figure 4-6 Adjacent vegetation avoided

